

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
STEPHEN GRAY, individually and on :
behalf of all others similarly situated, :
 :
Plaintiff, : Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 9790 (SHS)
v. :
 :
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, :
THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., :
THE 401(k) INVESTMENT :
COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20, :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

SHAUN ROSE, Individually and On :
Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, :
 :
Plaintiff, : Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10294
v. :
 :
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, :
THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., :
THE 401(k) INVESTMENT :
COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 10, :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

MEREDITH TRANBERG, individually :
and on behalf of all others similarly situated :
 :
Plaintiff, : Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10341
v. :
 :
CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, :
THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC., :
THE 401(k) INVESTMENT :
COMMITTEE, and JOHN DOES 1 - 20, :
 :
Defendants. :
-----X

-----X
 ANTON RAPPOLD, individually and on :
 behalf of all others similarly situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10396

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A., :
 CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS :
 ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF :
 CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) :
 INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and JOHN :
 and JANE DOES 1 - 10, :

Defendants. :

-----X
 SAMIER TADROS, on Behalf of All :
 Others Similarly Situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10442

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. :
 PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, :
 ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, :
 KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, :
 ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, :
 ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS :
 KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, :
 ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. :
 PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. :
 RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN :
 A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING :
 CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS :
 OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE :
 COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) :
 and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING :
 CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS :
 OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE :
 OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN), :

Defendants. :

-----X

-----X
STEPHAN FIORINO, individually and on :
behalf of all others similarly situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., :
CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS :
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF :
CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) :
INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and :
JOHN DOES 1 - 20, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10458

-----X
JAMES BOLLA, individually and on behalf :
of all others similarly situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK N.A., :
CHARLES PRINCE, THE PLANS :
ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE OF :
CITIGROUP INC., THE 401(k) :
INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, and :
JOHN DOES 1 - 20, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10461

-----X

-----X
MARK GEROULO, individually, on behalf :
of the CITIGROUP 401(k) Plan, the :
CITIBUILDER 401 (K) PLAN FOR :
PUERTO RICO, and all others similarly, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP, INC., CITIBANK, N.A., :
THE PLAN ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., :
MICHAEL E. SCHLEIN, JOHN DOES :
1-10, THE CITIGROUP 401(k) PLAN :
INVESTMENT COMMITTEE and JOHN :
DOES 10-20, C. MICHAEL :
ARMSTRONG, ALAN J.P. BELDA, :
GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, :
JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO :
HERNANDEZ, ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, :
ANDREW N. LIVERIS, DUDLEY C. :
MECUM, ANNE M. MULCAHY, :
RICHARD D. PARSONS, ANDRALL E. :
PEARSON, CHARLES PRINCE, JUDITH :
RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN :
A. THOMAS, SANFORD I. WEILL, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 10472

-----X

-----X
ALAN STEVENS, on Behalf of Himself :
and All Others Similarly Situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., CITIBANK, N.A, :
CHARLES PRINCE, C. MICHAEL :
ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. BELDA, :
GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, :
JOHN M. DEUTCH, PETER JOHNSON, :
ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, :
ANDREW N. LIVERIS, ANNE :
MULCAHEY, RICHARD D. PARSONS, :
JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, :
ROBERT L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. :
THOMAS, THE PLANS :
ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF :
CITIGROUP, INC., THE INVESTMENT :
COMMITTEE and JOHN DOES 1-30, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11156

-----X
STEPHEN GOLDSTEIN, on Behalf of :
Himself and a Class of Persons Similarly :
Situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., THE PLANS :
ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE OF :
CITIGROUP, INC., MICHAEL E. :
SCHLEIN, CHARLES PRINCE, C. :
MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J.P. :
BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. :
DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO :
HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. :
LIVERIS, ANNE MULCAHEY, :
RICHARD D. PARSONS, JUDITH :
RODIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, ROBERT L. :
RYAN, AND FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, :
And JOHN DOES 1-30, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11158

-----X
CHRIS SOUTHARD, on Behalf of All :
Others Similarly Situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES O. :
PRINCE, C. MICHAEL ARMSTRONG, :
ALAIN J.P. BELDA, GEORGE DAVID, :
KENNETH T. DERR, JOHN M. DEUTCH, :
ROBERTO HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, :
ANN DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS :
KLEINFELD, ANDREW N. LIVERIS, :
ANNE MULCAHY, RICHARD D. :
PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT E. :
RUBIN, ROBERT E. RUBIN, FRANKLIN :
A. THOMAS, JOHN DOES 1-20 (BEING :
CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS :
OF THE PLANS ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP INC.) :
and JOHN DOES 21-40 (BEING :
CURRENT AND FORMER MEMBERS :
OF THE INVESTMENT COMMITTEE :
OF THE CITIGROUP INC. 401(K) PLAN), :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11164

FRANCIA BRICK, individually and on :
Behalf of all others similarly situated, :

Plaintiff, :

v. :

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, :
THE PLAN'S ADMINISTRATIVE :
COMMITTEE OF CITIGROUP, INC., :
THE 401(k) INVESTMENT COMMITTEE, :
And JOHN DOES 1-10, :

Defendants. :

Civil Action No: 07 Civ. 11369

-----X
 WILLIAM and PATRICIA WOODWARD, :
 individually and on Behalf of All Others :
 Similarly Situated, :

Plaintiffs, :

v. :

Civil Action No: 07cv11207

CITIGROUP INC., CHARLES PRINCE, :
 ROBERT E. RUBIN, C. MICHAEL :
 ARMSTRONG, ALAIN J. P. BELDA, :
 GEORGE DAVID, KENNETH T. DERR, :
 JOHN M. DEUTCH, ROBERTO :
 HERNANDEZ RAMIREZ, ANDREW N. :
 LIVERIS, ANN MULCAHEY, RICHARD :
 D. PARSONS, JUDITH RODIN, ROBERT :
 L. RYAN, FRANKLIN A. THOMAS, ANN :
 DIBBLE JORDAN, KLAUS KLEINFELD :
 AND DUDLEY C. MECUM, and JOHN :
 and JANE DOES 1-10, :

Defendants. :

**THE WOODWARD PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
 PLAINTIFFS SHAUN ROSE AND MARK GEROULO'S MOTION FOR
 CONSOLIDATION OF RELATED ACTIONS AND APPOINTMENT OF INTERIM
 LEAD PLAINTIFFS, LEAD COUNSEL AND LIAISON COUNSEL**

I. INTRODUCTION

Keller Rohrback L.L.P. ("Keller Rohrback"), counsel for Plaintiffs William and Patricia Woodward in the above-captioned action entitled *Woodward v. Citigroup, Inc., et al.*, No. 07-11207, hereby submit this reply in support of Plaintiffs Shaun Rose and Mark Geroulo's request to consolidate related actions, appoint lead plaintiffs, and appoint Schatz Nobel Izard, P.C.

(“SNI”) as lead counsel and Cohen, Milstein, Hausfeld & Toll P.L.L.C. (“Cohen Milstein”) as liaison counsel.¹

A. Keller Rohrbach’s Experience in ERISA Company Stock Cases.

Keller Rohrbach is a national leader in ERISA class action litigation. The firm serves or served as lead or co-lead counsel in many of the most prominent ERISA class actions, including *In re Enron Corp. ERISA Litigation*, No. 01-3913 (S.D. Tex.) (J. Harmon), *In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litigation*, No. 02-4816 (S.D.N.Y.) (J. Cote), *In re Global Crossing ERISA Litigation*, No. 02-7453 (S.D.N.Y.) (J. Lynch), *In re Polaroid ERISA Litigation*, No. 03-8335 (S.D.N.Y.) (J. Pauley), and *In re Marsh ERISA Litigation*, No. 04-cv-8157 (S.D.N.Y.) (J. Kram), among others.²

In the course of the many ERISA class action cases that we have litigated, we have worked with a wide variety of other Plaintiffs firms. This has included all of the firms seeking a leadership position in this case.

B. The AIG ERISA Litigation.

Keller Rohrbach reluctantly submits this brief as we currently are working with both of the counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs, Wolf Popper LLP (“Wolf Popper”) and Harwood Feffer LLP (“Harwood Feffer”) on the *AIG ERISA Litigation* Provisional Committee. We do not wish to denigrate either firm, and, indeed, believe it would be counterproductive to do so. Nonetheless, we are compelled by our obligation to the Court to correct counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs’ description of its role in the *AIG ERISA Litigation*. In the *Gray* Plaintiffs’ brief filed on January 4, 2008 (“*Gray* Opposition”), counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs, state that they “lead the prosecution” and “took the lead in briefing the extensive and comprehensive motions to dismiss”

¹ It is our understanding that Schiffrin, Barroway Topaz & Kessler and Dealy & Silberstein have withdrawn as counsel for Plaintiff Rose, and that SNI now intends to seek appointment as lead counsel with Cohen Milstein serving as liaison counsel. Cohen Milstein is co-counsel with Keller Rohrbach on the *Woodward* complaint.

² The firm resume for Keller Rohrbach L.L.P.’s Complex Litigation Group is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

in the *AIG ERISA Litigation*, Master File No. 04-CV-9387 (JES). See Gray Opposition at 18-19 & n.26.

These statements are not accurate as they overstate the role that the *Gray* counsel play in the AIG case. Specifically, United States District Judge John E. Sprizzo appointed a four-firm “Provisional Committee,” which included Keller Rohrback and Squitieri & Fearon LLP, to litigate the case until the appointment of lead counsel after the class certification motion.³ Although counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs omit any reference to the work done by Keller Rohrback and Squitieri & Fearon, all four firms on the Provisional Committee have worked extensively on the litigation, with Keller Rohrback, which far and away has the most ERISA experience in the group, playing a significant role at every stage of the process.

Moreover, counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs did not take the lead in briefing the extensive and comprehensive motions to dismiss. All four firms participated in the briefing, with Keller Rohrback drafting significant portions, assembling the pieces written by each firm, and arguing the merits of the motion before Judge Sprizzo.⁴

Again, it is not our intent to denigrate any of the counsel seeking leadership positions in this case. All of the firms have some ERISA experience. We note that, objectively, SNI and Cohen Milstein together have more experience in ERISA class action litigation of this type than

³ See Order *In re AIG ERISA Litig.*, Master File No. 04-cv-9387 (JES) (AJP) (S.D.N.Y. Aug. 3, 2005) attached hereto as Exhibit B.

⁴ Additionally, counsel for the *Gray* Plaintiffs state that “Wolf Popper is currently handling...a production of approximately 20 million pages in the *AIG ERISA Litigation*, which documents are maintained electronically on a database managed by the firm’s IT staff and paralegals.” *Gray* Opposition at 18. The AIG database, however, is not managed by Wolf Popper’s IT staff and paralegals. Rather, the documents in the case are being maintained by Merrill Lextranet® (“Lextranet”), an off-site litigation database management tool. All four firms that were appointed to the Provisional Committee have substantially participated in reviewing documents and Keller Rohrback has been the primary contact with Lextranet. As with all other aspects of this litigation, the document review and management has been a four-firm effort.

the others firms seeking leadership positions, and their experience, at least as it pertains to work in which Keller Rohrbach has itself been involved, is accurately described.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, counsel for Plaintiffs William and Patricia Woodward, Keller Rohrbach, respectfully submits its support for the appointment of Schatz Nobel Iazard as Lead Counsel and Cohen Milstein as Liaison Counsel in this litigation

Dated: January 10, 2008

Respectfully submitted,


**COHEN, MILSTEIN, HAUSFELD
& TOLL, P.L.L.C.**

By: _____/s/
Lynda J. Grant (LG-4784)
Catherine A. Torell (CT-0905)
150 East 52nd Street
Thirtieth Floor
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 838-7797
Facsimile: (212) 838-7745

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P
Lynn L. Sarko
Derek W. Loeser
Erin M. Riley
Tyler L. Farmer
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
Telephone: (206) 623-1900
Facsimile: (206) 623-3384

Counsel for the Woodward Plaintiffs

KELLER·ROHRBACK
LAW OFFICES



ERISA LITIGATION GROUP



Keller Rohrback L.L.P. 1201 Third Avenue Suite 3200 Seattle, WA 98101 | 206.623.1900

Keller Rohrback P.L.C. 3101 North Central Avenue Suite 1400 Phoenix, AZ 85012 | 602.248.0088

www.ERISAfraud.com

www.SeattleClassAction.com



LEADERS IN ERISA CLASS ACTION LITIGATION

KELLER ROHRBACK is the nation's leading law firm handling ERISA retirement plan litigation on behalf of Plaintiffs. Our ERISA Litigation Group has been instrumental in pioneering this cutting-edge field of law in the *IKON*, *Lucent* and *Enron* ERISA cases, the first large-scale ERISA 401(k) breach of fiduciary duty lawsuits of their kind. We are widely reported as an ERISA "powerhouse."

On behalf of our clients, Keller Rohrbach has played a major role in establishing that ERISA's strict fiduciary duties apply to companies' investment of employees' retirement savings in the stock of the employers. Our efforts have resulted in numerous published decisions upholding Plaintiffs' ERISA claims, granting class certification, and approving several multi-million dollar settlements. In all, to date, Keller Rohrbach has recovered over \$750 million for employees on behalf of their retirement savings plans.

Federal courts throughout the country have recognized Keller Rohrbach's qualifications to vigorously pursue ERISA class action claims. Thus, Keller Rohrbach has served in a leadership position in almost every major ERISA breach of fiduciary duty case involving 401(k) and ESOP plans, including ERISA litigation against the following corporations:

- *AIG*
- *BellSouth*
- *CIGNA*
- *CMS Energy*
- *Delphi*
- *Dynegy*
- *Enron*
- *Ford Motor Co.*
- *Fremont General Corp.*
- *Global Crossing*
- *The Goodyear Tire & Rubber*
- *HealthSouth*
- *Household Int'l*
- *Krispy Kreme Doughnut*
- *Lucent Technologies*
- *Marsh*
- *Merck*
- *Mirant*
- *Polaroid*
- *Providian*
- *Pfizer*
- *Southern Company*
- *Syncor*
- *Williams Companies*
- *WorldCom*
- *Xerox*

Founded in 1919, today Keller Rohrbach has 58 attorneys and 90 staff members who provide expert legal services to our clients nationwide. We use cutting-edge technology and case management techniques in the preparation and trial of complex cases. Our excellent support staff includes in-house programming personnel and experienced paralegals who contribute significantly to our ability to effectively and efficiently litigate complex class action cases nationwide. The firm's ERISA Litigation Group regularly calls on firm attorneys in other practice areas for expertise in bankruptcy, contracts, employment law, executive compensation, corporate transactions, financial institutions, insurance coverage, mergers and acquisitions, professional malpractice, and securities transactions. The firm's in-house access to these resources distinguishes Keller Rohrbach from other class action firms and also contributes to the firm's success.



ERISA 401(k) and ESOP Cases

Keller Rohrback is proud to have an unparalleled track record of assisting our clients allege highly technical claims, including the following: (1) failure to prudently and loyally manage the plan and plan assets; (2) failure to provide complete and accurate information regarding company stock to Plan participants; and (3) failure to prudently monitor Plan fiduciaries. We are honored that courts nationwide have repeatedly praised Keller Rohrback's leadership and successful results in this highly complex and rapidly developing area of law.

"[Keller Rohrback] has performed an important public service in this action and has done so efficiently and with integrity . . . [Keller Rohrback] has also worked creatively and diligently to obtain a settlement from WorldCom in the context of complex and difficult legal questions . . . [Keller Rohrback] should be appropriately rewarded as an incentive for the further protection of employees and their pension plans not only in this litigation but in all ERISA actions." In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litig., 59 Fed. R. Serv. 3d 1170, 33 Empl. Benefits Cas. (BNA) 2291 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 18, 2004).

"The Court finds that [Keller Rohrback] is experienced and qualified counsel who is generally able to conduct the litigation as Lead Counsel on behalf of the putative class. Keller Rohrback has significant experience in ERISA litigation, serving as Co-Lead Counsel in the Enron ERISA litigation, the Lucent ERISA litigation, and the Providian ERISA litigation, and experience in complex class action litigation in other areas of the law. Mr. Sarko's presentation at the August 26, 2002 hearing before the Court evidences Keller Rohrback's ability to adequately represent the class." In re Williams Cos. ERISA Litig., No. 02-153 (N.D. Okla. Oct. 18, 2002) (order appointing Lead Counsel).



Pioneering ERISA 401(k) and ESOP Cases

Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc., No. MDL 1318 (E.D. Pa.) (Also cited as “*In re IKON Office Solutions, Inc. Securities Litigation.*”). The current wave of 401(k) company stock cases began with *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc.*, No. 2-98-CV-89 (D. Utah). In a first-of-its-kind complaint, we alleged that company stock was an imprudent investment for the plan, that the fiduciaries of the plan failed to provide complete and accurate information concerning company stock to the participants, and that they failed to address their conflicts of interest. This case resulted in groundbreaking opinions in the ERISA 401(k) area of law on motions to dismiss, *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc.*, 86 F. Supp. 2d 481 (E.D. Pa. 2000); class certification, *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc.*, 191 F.R.D. 457 (E.D. Pa. 2000); approval of securities settlements with a carve-out for ERISA claims, *In re IKON Office Solutions Sec. Litig.*, 194 F.R.D. 166 (E.D. Pa. 2000); and approval of ERISA settlements, *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc.*, 209 F.R.D. 94 (E.D. Pa. 2002).

In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. ERISA Litigation, No. 01-CV-3491 (D.N.J.). Keller Rohrbach was appointed Co-Lead Counsel in this class action brought on behalf of participants and beneficiaries of the Lucent defined contribution plans that invested in Lucent stock. The complaint alleged that the Defendants withheld and concealed material information from participants, thereby encouraging participants and beneficiaries to continue to make and to maintain substantial investments in company stock and the plans. The settlement provided for, among other relief, the payment of \$69 million in cash and stock to the plan. Judge Joel Pisano approved the settlement on December 12, 2003.

In re Enron Corp. ERISA Litigation, No. H 01-CV-3913 (S.D. Tex.) (Also cited as “*Tittle v. Enron Corp.*”). Keller Rohrbach served as Co-Lead Counsel in this class action filed in the Southern District of Texas on behalf of participants and beneficiaries of the Enron Corporation Savings Plan, a 401(k) plan and ESOP plan. On September 30, 2003, Judge Melinda Harmon denied Defendants’ numerous motions to dismiss in a landmark decision that addressed in detail Defendants’ obligations as ERISA fiduciaries, and upheld Plaintiffs’ core ERISA claims. See *Tittle v. Enron Corp.*, 284 F. Supp. 2d 511 (S.D. Tex. 2003). Judge Harmon subsequently granted Plaintiffs’ motion for class certification and affirmed Keller Rohrbach as Co-Lead Counsel. See *Tittle v. Enron Corp.*, No. 01-3913, 2006 WL 1662596 (S.D. Tex. June 7, 2006). Plaintiffs have achieved settlements totaling more than \$264 million in cash for the Enron plan participants.

In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litigation, No. 02 Civ. 4816 (DLC) (S.D.N.Y.). Keller Rohrbach serves as Lead Counsel in this class action filed in the Southern District of New York on behalf of participants and beneficiaries of the WorldCom 401(k) Salary Savings Plan. On June 17, 2003, Judge Denise Cote denied in part Defendants’



motions to dismiss. See *In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 745 (S.D.N.Y. 2003), and on October 4, 2004, granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. See *In re WorldCom, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, 2004 WL 2211664 (S.D.N.Y. Oct. 4, 2004). Settlements providing for injunctive relief and payments of over \$48 million to the plan were approved on October 26, 2004 and November 21, 2005.

Groundbreaking ERISA 401(k) and ESOP Settlements

Keller Rohrback's qualifications to lead ERISA 401(k) and ESOP class actions is nowhere more evident than in the highly favorable settlements it has achieved for the benefit of employees in several of its nationally prominent cases. In addition to the *Enron*, *WorldCom*, *IKON*, and *Lucent* settlements discussed above, these settlements include:

In re BellSouth Corporation ERISA Litigation, No. 02-CV-2440 (N.D. Ga.). On March 4, 2004, Judge J. Owen Forrester denied Defendants' motion to dismiss. See *Hill v. BellSouth Corp.*, 313 F. Supp. 2d 1361 (N.D. Ga. 2004). On December 5, 2006, Judge Forrester approved a settlement that provided structural relief for the Plans valued at up to \$90 million, plus attorneys fees and costs. See *In re BellSouth Corp. ERISA Litig.*, No. 02-CV-2440 (N.D. Ga. Dec. 5, 2006).

In re CMS Energy ERISA Litigation, No. 02-72834 (E.D. Mich.). On March 31, 2004, Judge George Caram Steeh denied Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig.*, 312 F. Supp. 2d 898 (E.D. Mich. 2004). On December 27, 2004, Judge Steeh granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification. See *In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig.*, 225 F.R.D. 539 (E.D. Mich. 2004). Judge Steeh subsequently approved the \$28 million settlement negotiated by the parties.

In re Dynegy, Inc. ERISA Litigation, No. H-02-3076 (S.D. Tex.). On March 5, 2004, the court denied, in part, Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *In re Dynegy, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, 309 F. Supp. 2d 861 (S.D. Tex. 2004). Subsequently, the parties reached a settlement that provided for the payment of \$30.75 million in cash to the plan. On December 10, 2004, Judge Sim Lake approved the settlement. See *In re Dynegy, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, No. 02-3076 (S.D. Tex. Dec. 10, 2004).

In re Global Crossing Ltd. ERISA Litigation, 02 Civ. 7453 (GEL) (S.D.N.Y.). The *Global Crossing ERISA Litigation* settlement provided for, among other relief, the payment of \$79 million to the plan. Judge Gerard Lynch approved the settlement on November 10, 2004. See *In re Global Crossing Ltd. ERISA Litig.*, No. 02-7453, 2004 WL 3019763 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 29, 2004).

In re HealthSouth Corp. ERISA Litigation, No. CV-03-BE-1700-S (N.D. Ala.). On June 28, 2006, Judge Karon Bowdre approved a settlement in the amount of \$28.875



million, with a possible additional \$1 million from any HealthSouth recovery in the derivative action.

In re Household International, Inc. ERISA Litigation, No. 02 C 7921 (N.D. Ill.). On March 31, 2004, Judge Samuel Der-Yeghiayan denied, in part, Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *Cokenour v. Household Int'l Inc.*, No. 02-7921, 2004 WL 725973 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 31, 2004). The case subsequently settled for \$46.5 million in cash to the plan. The court approved the settlement on November 22, 2004. See *Cokenour v. Household Int'l Inc.*, No. 02 C 7921 (N.D. Ill. Nov. 22, 2004).

In re Mirant Corporation ERISA Litigation, No. 1:03-CV-1027 (N.D. Ga.). On November 16, 2006, the court approved the settlement, including a payment of \$9.7 million in cash to the Plan for losses suffered by the certified Settlement Class.

In re Polaroid ERISA Litigation, No. 03-CV-8335 (S.D.N.Y.). On March 31, 2005, Judge William H. Pauley III granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to dismiss. See *In re Polaroid ERISA Litig.*, 362 F. Supp. 2d 461 (S.D.N.Y. 2005). On September 29, 2006, Judge Pauley granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification. See *In re Polaroid ERISA Litig.*, 240 F.R.D. 65 (S.D.N.Y. 2006). The parties subsequently reached a settlement in the amount of \$15 million, which was approved by the court on June 25, 2007.

In re Providian Financial Corp. ERISA Litigation, No. C-01-5027-CRB (N.D. Cal.). The *Providian ERISA Litigation* settlement provided for structural changes to the plan, as well as the payment of \$8.6 million in cash to the plan. The court approved the settlement on June 30, 2003. See *In re Providian Fin. Corp. ERISA Litig.*, No. 01-5027, 2003 WL 22005019 (N.D. Cal. June 30, 2003).

Smith v. Krispy Kreme Doughnut Corporation, No. 1:05-CV-06187 (M.D.N.C.). The Krispy Kreme ERISA Litigation settlement provided for structural changes to the plan, as well as the payment of \$4.75 million in cash. On January 10, 2007, Judge William L. Osteen approved the settlement.

In re Southern Company ERISA Litigation, No. 1:04-CV-1912-RWS (N.D. Ga.). On August 14, 2007, the court granted final approval of the settlement, including a payment of \$15,000,000 in cash to the Plan for losses suffered by the certified Settlement Class.

In re Visteon Corporation ERISA Litigation, No. 05-71205 (E.D. Mich.). On March 9, 2007, Judge Aven Cohn approved a settlement in the amount of \$7.6 million.

In re Williams Companies ERISA Litigation, No. 02-CV-153 (N.D. Okla.). On November 16, 2005, the court approved the settlement for \$55 million in cash, plus



the Plan to (i) reduce the employer match thereunder below four percent prior to January 1, 2011, or (ii) require that the employer match be restricted in company stock prior to January 1, 2011.

Pending ERISA Cases

In addition to the cases listed above, Keller Rohrback has been appointed to a leadership position in numerous other ongoing ERISA 401(k) and ESOP class actions. Through these cases, Keller Rohrback has again and again demonstrated its expertise in ERISA law, and its ability to vigorously, creatively, and successfully pursue employees' rights under ERISA. Keller Rohrback's leading role in the development of this law is unique and distinguishes the firm from any other in the country. Notable pending cases include:

In re AIG ERISA Litigation, No. 04-CV-9387 (S.D.N.Y.). On December 12, 2006, the Honorable John E. Sprizzo issued an order in which he denied Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *In re AIG ERISA Litig.*, No. 04-9387 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 12, 2006).

In re Delphi Corporation Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation, No. 05-CV-70882 (E.D. Mich.). On September 5, 2007, the Court preliminarily approved a settlement amount of \$47 million, preliminarily certified a Settlement Class and scheduled a Fairness Hearing for November 13, 2007.

In re Fremont General Corporation Litigation, No. 2:07-cv-02693-FMC-FFM (C.D. Cal.). On August 17, 2007, Keller Rohrback was appointed sole Interim Lead Counsel.

In re The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company ERISA Litigation, No. 5:03CV02180 (N.D. Ohio). Judge John R. Adams denied Defendants' motions to dismiss on July 6, 2006. See *In re The Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. ERISA Litig.*, No. 03-2180, 2006 WL 1928470 (N.D. Ohio July 6, 2006).

In re J.P. Morgan Chase Cash Balance Litigation, No. 06-cv-0732 (S.D.N.Y.). On October 30, 2006, Judge Harold Baer, Jr. granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motion to dismiss. See *In re J.P. Morgan Chase Cash Balance Litig.*, 460 F. Supp. 2d 479 (S.D.N.Y. 2006). On May 30, 2007, Judge Baer granted in part and denied in part Plaintiffs' motion for class certification, and certified a class of participants with respects to Plaintiffs' age discrimination and ERISA notice claims. The Court appointed Keller Rohrback L.L.P. as class counsel along with its two Co-Lead Counsel firms. See *In re J.P. Morgan Chase Cash Balance Litig.*, No. 06-cv-0732, 242 F.R.D. 265 (S.D.N.Y. 2007).

Lilly, et al. v. Oneida LTD, et al., No. 6:07-cv-0040 (N.D.N.Y.).



In re Marsh ERISA Litigation, No. 04-CV-8157 (S.D.N.Y.). On December 14, 2006, the Honorable Shirley Wohl Kram issued an order in which she granted in part and denied in part the Defendants' Motions to Dismiss. See *In re Marsh ERISA Litig.*, No. 04-8157, 2006 WL 3706169 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 14, 2006).

In re Merck & Co., Inc., Securities, Derivative & "ERISA" Litigation, No. 3:05-CV-01151 (D.N.J.). On July 11, 2006, Judge Stanley R. Chesler granted in part and denied in part Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *In re Merck & Co., Inc., Sec., Derivative & "ERISA" Litig.*, No. 05-1151, 2006 WL 2050577 (D.N.J. July 11, 2006).

Montoya, et al. v. ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company, et al., No. 07-CV-2574 (S.D.N.Y.).

Nowak, et al. v. Ford Motor Company, No. 06-cv-11718 (E.D. Mich.). On December 22, 2006, Keller Rohrback was appointed Interim Co-Lead Counsel and on May 8, 2007, the Consolidated Amended complaint was filed.

In re Pfizer ERISA Litigation, No. MDL-1688 (S.D.N.Y.).

In re Syncor ERISA Litigation, No. 03 CV 2446 (LGB) (C.D. Cal.). On August 23, 2004, Judge Baird denied, in part, Defendants' motions to dismiss. See *In re Syncor ERISA Litig.*, 351 F. Supp. 2d 970 (C.D. Cal. 2004). Judge Baird subsequently granted Plaintiffs' motion for class certification on March 28, 2005. The case recently settled for \$4 million, but was dismissed on summary judgment before the settlement could be approved. Plaintiffs' appeal to enforce the settlement is pending in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

In re Xerox Corporation ERISA Litigation, No. 02-CV-1138 (AWT) (D. Conn.). On April 17, 2007, Judge Alvin W. Thompson denied in all significant parts the Defendants' motions to dismiss. *In re Xerox Corp. ERISA Litig.*, 483 F. Supp. 2d 206 (D. Conn. 2007).

Representative Securities Fraud Cases

In addition to its work in the ERISA arena, Keller Rohrback also has served as lead or Co-Lead Counsel in a number of securities fraud class action cases where it has represented purchasers of securities.

In re 2TheMart.Com Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 99-1127 DOC (ANx) (S.D. Cal.). Keller Rohrback was appointed Co-Lead Counsel in this securities fraud class action and achieved a settlement of \$2.7 million.



In re Anicom Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 00 C 4391 (N.D. Ill.). Keller Rohrback was one of three counsel representing the State of Wisconsin Investment Board in this securities fraud class action. Counsel achieved settlements on behalf of the class and other parties in excess of \$39 million.

In re Apple Computer, Inc. Derivative Litigation, No. C-06-04128 (N.D. Cal.). Keller Rohrback serves on the Plaintiffs' Management Committee in this federal derivative shareholder action against nominal Defendant Apple Computer, Inc. and current and former officers and members of Apple's Board of Directors. Plaintiffs allege, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and gross mismanagement arising from the practice of backdating stock options granted between 1993 and 2001, which practice diverted millions of dollars of corporate assets to Apple executives.

In re Autodesk, Inc. Derivative Litigation, No. 06-7185 (N.D. Cal.). Keller Rohrback has been appointed to Chair the Plaintiffs' Executive Committee in this federal derivative shareholder action pending in the Northern District of California. Plaintiff brings claims on behalf of nominal Defendant Autodesk, Inc., and certain current and former Autodesk officers and directors. These Defendants are alleged to have, among other things, breached their fiduciary obligations to Autodesk by engaging in the practice of backdating certain broad-based employee stock options, which practice has diverted millions of dollars of corporate assets and is forcing Autodesk to record additional compensation expenses.

In re Foundry Networks, Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation, No. 06-05598 (N.D. Cal.). Keller Rohrback L.L.P. has been appointed Co-Lead Counsel in this federal derivative shareholder action against nominal Defendant Foundry Networks, Inc., and current and former officers and members of Foundry's Board of Directors. Plaintiffs allege, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, and gross mismanagement arising from the practice of backdating stock options granted between 2000 and 2003, which practice has diverted millions of dollars of corporate assets to Foundry executives.

Getty v. Harmon, et al., No. C98-0178 (W.D. Wash.). This securities class action was brought on behalf of all people who purchased unregistered securities from Defendants. The suit was settled in favor of the Plaintiffs in the amount of \$7 million.

IKON Securities Litigation, No. 98 CV-5483 (E.D. Pa.). Keller Rohrback served as Co-Lead Counsel representing the City of Philadelphia and eight other lead Plaintiffs. Class Counsel achieved the highest securities fraud settlement in the history of the Court by settling with Defendant IKON Office Solutions, Inc. for \$111 million.

Lasky v. Brown (United Companies Securities Litigation), No. CV99-1035-B-M2 (M.D. La.). Keller Rohrback served as Co-Lead Counsel in this securities fraud class action, and secured a \$20.5 million settlement.



In re Scientific-Atlanta, Inc. Securities Litigation, No. 1:01-CV-1950-RWS (N.D. Ga.). Keller Rohrback L.L.P. serves as Co-Lead Counsel in this case, in which Plaintiffs allege that Defendants engaged in a course of fraudulent conduct by misrepresenting and omitting material information pertaining to Scientific-Atlanta's financial results and by engaging in extensive channel stuffing in order to enable the company to meet its stated earnings expectations.

In re WorldPort Communications, Inc., No. 1-99-CV-1817 (N.D. Ga.). Keller Rohrback played an active role in the prosecution of this securities fraud class action and secured settlements totaling \$5,100,000.

In re Zoran Corp. Derivative Litig., No. 06-05503 (N.D. Cal.). Keller Rohrback serves as sole Lead Counsel in this federal derivative shareholder action against Zoran Corporation and certain current and former officers and directors. Plaintiff alleges, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, unjust enrichment, corporate waste, and violation of federal securities laws arising from the practice stock option backdating. In one of the most thorough decisions issued to date, Judge Alsup denied in significant part Defendants' motion to dismiss. See *In re Zoran Corp. Derivative Litig.*, No. 06-0553, 2070 WL 1650948 (N.D. Cal. June 5, 2007).

Other Representative Cases

Erickson, et al. v. Bartell Drug Co., No. C00-1213L (W.D. Wash.). This landmark case, won on summary judgment, established that a private employer excluding prescription contraception services from an otherwise extensive health insurance plan unlawfully discriminates under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act.

Ferko, et al v. NASCAR, No. 4:02-CV-50 (E.D. Tex.). Keller Rohrback was counsel for Plaintiff in a lawsuit that charged NASCAR with breach of contract and unlawful monopolization. The suit also claimed that NASCAR and another Defendant, International Speedway Corporation ("ISC"), engaged in a conspiracy to restrain trade in violation of the antitrust laws. Keller Rohrback represented the shareholders of Speedway Motorsports, Inc. ("SMI"), a publicly traded company that owns six motorsports facilities, including Texas Motor Speedway ("TMS"). In May 2004, the parties reached a settlement agreement, pursuant to which, among other things, SMI agreed to purchase North Carolina Speedway from ISC for \$100.4 million. The settlement was approved by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas.

In re Carpet Antitrust Litigation, No. 1:95-CV-1494 (N.D. Ga.). This antitrust class action alleged a nationwide price-fixing conspiracy among the manufacturers and



sellers of polypropylene carpet and was brought on behalf of purchasers of such carpet. Plaintiffs negotiated a successful settlement.

In re Commercial Tissue Products Antitrust Litigation, No. MDL 1189 (N.D. Fla.). This antitrust case involved allegations of a nationwide price-fixing conspiracy among the major manufacturers of facial tissue, toilet paper, paper towels, and related paper products used in “away from home” settings, such as office buildings, hotels, restaurants, and schools. Parties entered into a settlement agreement valued at \$56.2 million in cash and coupons.

In re Diet Drugs (Phentermine/Fenfluramine/Dexfenfluramine) Products Liability Litigation, No. MDL 1203 (E.D. Pa.). These cases involve numerous Plaintiffs in Washington and other states who seek medical monitoring and/or personal injury compensation in relation to their ingestion of the prescription diet drugs Pondimin and Phentermine (*i.e.*, Fen-Phen) or Redux. Keller Rohrback serves as Class Counsel for a certified medical monitoring class of Washington patients who ingested these diet drugs. In addition, Judge Louis C. Bechtle, the federal judge who supervised the national settlement and litigation, appointed Lynn Lincoln Sarko, Keller Rohrback’s managing partner, to serve as a member of the MDL 1203 Plaintiffs’ State Liaison Counsel Committee. Keller Rohrback has represented, and continues to represent, numerous Plaintiffs in pursuing individual personal injury claims through the American Home Products’ Nationwide Class Action Diet Drug Settlement or through individual lawsuits brought in state or federal courts.

In re the Exxon Valdez, No. A89 095 (D. Alaska). Keller Rohrback represents fishermen, Alaska natives, municipalities, and other injured Plaintiffs in this mass tort lawsuit arising out of the March 24, 1989, oil spill in Prince William Sound, Alaska. After a three-month jury trial, Plaintiffs obtained \$5 billion in punitive damages—the largest punitive damages verdict in U.S. history. Keller Rohrback played a leadership role during discovery and at trial, and was chosen to serve as Administrator of both the Alyeska and Exxon Qualified Settlement Funds. Litigation, including an appeal, continues.

In re First USA Bank, No. C97-1482D 9 (W.D. Wash.). This class action was filed on behalf of owners of credit cards issued by First USA Bank who signed up for “introductory rate” credit cards that were subject to false and deceptive “repricing.” A settlement in this class action resulted in an automatic depricing benefit of over \$50 million, plus over \$36 million in benefits from other settlement-related offers.

In re Linerboard Antitrust Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1261 (E.D. Pa.). The class actions in this litigation were resolved with the recovery of more than \$202 million for the benefit of a class of businesses that purchased corrugated boxes and sheets. The combined settlements are the largest ever obtained in a price-fixing class action in the



Eastern District of Pennsylvania and among the largest obtained in such a case nationally.

In re Monosodium Glutamate Antitrust Litigation, No. MDL No. 00-1328 (D. Minn.). Keller Rohrback represents the Plaintiff Class in this case pending in the United States District Court for the District of Minnesota. So far, \$124 million has been recovered for the benefit of a class of businesses which purchased food flavor enhancers from suppliers in the U.S., Japan, Korea, and Taiwan. Businesses which participated in the recovery received nearly 200% of the amounts they were overcharged.

In re Microsoft Corp. Antitrust Litigation, No. MDL 1332 (D. Md.). Keller Rohrback served on the Executive Committee of Plaintiffs' counsel in this class action challenging Microsoft's monopolistic practices. A class of direct purchasers of operating system software achieved a settlement of \$10.5 million in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland.

In re Phillip Morris Securities Litigation, No. 94 Civ. 1494 (TCP) (E.D.N.Y.). This shareholder derivative class action alleged misrepresentations regarding various inventory and trade loading practices used to distort the timing of sales. The Plaintiff class in this and a related case received in excess of \$100 million in settlement.

Salloway v. Malt-O-Meal Company, No. PI-98-008931 (State of Minnesota, Hennepin County District Court). This nationwide product liability class action was brought on behalf of all people who consumed Defendant's salmonella-contaminated cereal. Plaintiffs negotiated a successful settlement.

Wholesale Vitamins Price Fixing, Case No. 00-631-CIV (S.D. Fla.). Keller Rohrback played an extensive role in trial preparation in this case, one of the largest and most successful antitrust cases in history. Chief Judge Thomas Hogan of the United States District Court for the District of Columbia certified two classes of businesses who directly purchased bulk vitamins and were overcharged as a result of a ten year global price-fixing and market allocation conspiracy. Through settlement and verdict, recoveries were achieved, including four major settlements between certain vitamin Defendants and Class Plaintiffs. One landmark partial settlement totaled \$1.1 billion.



Lynn Lincoln Sarko

Lynn Lincoln Sarko, the managing partner of Keller Rohrback L.L.P. since 1991, leads the firm's nationally recognized Complex Litigation Group. An accomplished trial lawyer, he regularly serves as lead counsel in multi-party and class actions involving ERISA, breach of fiduciary duty and antitrust issues, and professional organizations have honored him for his work in high profile public cases. Mr. Sarko first came to Seattle for a federal clerkship. He returned after serving as an Assistant U.S. Attorney in Washington D.C. Courts. After serving as trial counsel in the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill case, which resulted in a \$5 billion punitive damages verdict, he was appointed by the court as Administrator for all funds recovered. Mr. Sarko has served as lead or co-lead counsel in numerous leading ERISA cases, including the largest and most complex – the *Enron*, *WorldCom*, and *Global Crossing* cases, and numerous other 401(k) and ESOP cases including: *In re Lucent Technologies ERISA Litigation*; *In re Xerox ERISA Litigation*; *In re Dynegy, Inc. ERISA Litigation*; *In re Williams Cos. ERISA Litigation*; *In re BellSouth Corp. ERISA Litigation*; *In re Household International ERISA Litigation*; *In re Polaroid ERISA Litigation*; and *In re Marsh ERISA Litigation*.



Mr. Sarko's ERISA litigation practice has focused on prosecuting matters raising sophisticated 401(k) and ESOP plan issues, including: preemption; fiduciary breach; fiduciary misrepresentation claims; imprudent investment claims; blackout period and mapping violations; plan asset diversification; prohibited transaction allegations; cash balance litigation; directed trustee litigation; Section 404(c) defenses; and ERISA class action issues. He regularly appears in federal courts from coast to coast, maintaining an active national ERISA litigation practice.

In addition to his work as lead or co-lead counsel in leading ERISA cases, Mr. Sarko has previously prosecuted a variety of class actions involving high profile matters including the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill, the Microsoft civil antitrust case, Vitamins price-fixing cases, the MDL *Fen/Phen Diet Drug Litigation*, as well as notable public service lawsuits such as *Erickson v. Bartell Drug Co.*, establishing a woman's right to prescription contraceptive health coverage. Aided in part by his M.B.A. in accounting, Mr. Sarko has also litigated numerous complex cases involving financial and accounting fraud, including actions against several of the nation's largest accounting and investment firms.

Continued on next page

All other bios listed alphabetically



Lynn Lincoln Sarko (continued)

Education and Admissions

Mr. Sarko received his undergraduate, M.B.A. and law degrees at the University of Wisconsin, where he served as the editor-in-chief of the law review and was selected by the faculty as the outstanding graduate of his class. He is admitted to practice in Washington State; Wisconsin; the District of Columbia; United States District Courts in Arizona, Colorado, D.C., Washington and Wisconsin; United States Courts of Appeal for the Second, Fourth, Seventh, Ninth, and Tenth Circuits, and for the District of Columbia; as well as the United States Supreme Court.

Memberships

He is a member of the King County Bar Association, the Washington State Bar Association, and the American Bar Association.

Laurie Ashton

Laurie Ashton is a member of Keller Rohrback P.L.C., based in Phoenix, Arizona. Her practice emphasizes bankruptcy, commercial, ERISA, and environmental litigation. Ms. Ashton has been very active in the Arizona State Bar, having served on the Ethics Committee for six years, and frequently lectures on bankruptcy issues and other matters. Additionally, Ms. Ashton has taught semester courses in Advanced Chapter 11 Bankruptcy and Lawyering Theory and Practice at the ASU College of Law, and for several years running, has been a guest lecturer on Chapter 11 at Harvard Law School. She is the co-author of *Arizona Legal Forms: Limited Liability Companies and Partnerships*, 1996-2002. Following law school Ms. Ashton served as law clerk for the Honorable Charles G. Case, U.S. Bankruptcy Court, for the District of Arizona for two years. Ms. Ashton graduated from Arizona State University College of Law, where she has twice returned as an Adjunct Professor to teach semester courses in Lawyering Theory and Practice and Advanced Chapter 11. Ms. Ashton is admitted to practice in Arizona and Colorado.





Gretchen Freeman Cappio

Gretchen Freeman Cappio is a member of Keller Rohrbach's Complex Litigation Group practicing in the areas of class action & complex litigation, consumer protection, and employment litigation. She and her co-counsel have represented the plaintiff class in several cutting-edge complex cases, including *Erickson v. Bartell Drug Co.*, 141 F. Supp. 2d 1266 (W.D. Wash. 2001), in which the Honorable Robert S. Lasnik ruled that an employer violated Title VII of the Civil Rights Act when its coverage failed to cover prescription contraceptives on an equal basis as other prescription drugs. Ms. Cappio graduated from the University of Washington School of Law where she served as the Executive Comments Editor of *The Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal*. She earned her B.A. degree *magna cum laude* from Dartmouth College, where she graduated Phi Beta Kappa and with honors in Religion. Ms. Cappio is an active member of the Washington State Bar Association. Ms. Cappio serves as a pro bono attorney for the Northwest Immigrant Rights Project, and represents immigrant survivors of domestic violence.



David Y. Chen

David Chen was most recently founding COO of Biotrue, a bioinformatics startup, where he managed overall operations including legal affairs. He was previously an attorney in private practice and assistant general counsel at Epoch Partners, an investment bank sponsored by Charles Schwab and acquired by Goldman, Sachs. Mr. Chen also practiced M&A, securities and general corporate law at Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom. He began his legal career as an Honors Program attorney with the Civil Rights Division of the U.S. Department of Justice, where he developed, investigated and prosecuted individual cases and patterns or practices of employment discrimination and represented the U.S. Department of Labor in defensive matters. Mr. Chen received his A.B. in Public Policy from Duke University and his J.D. from Stanford University Law School where he was an Associate Editor of the *Stanford Law Review*.





T. David Copley

T. David Copley's practice is focused on class action and other complex litigation, including mass tort, antitrust, breach of fiduciary duty, securities, employment and consumer protection cases. Mr. Copley was one of those named 1995 Trial Lawyer of the Year for his successful work in the Exxon Valdez Oil Spill Litigation. He graduated from Northwestern University School of Law, where he served as an editor of the *Northwestern University Law Review*. He earned his B.A. at the University of Iowa, taking his degree with Distinction and Honors in Political Science and English and as a member of Phi Beta Kappa. Mr. Copley is admitted to practice in the states of Washington and Arizona, in the United States District Courts of Western Washington, Eastern Washington, Arizona, the Northern District of California, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and the United States Supreme Court.



Shane P. Cramer

Shane Cramer's practice focuses primarily on ERISA, securities fraud, and shareholder derivative litigation. Shane graduated from the University of Washington School of Law in 2003. He received his B.A. in Society & Justice and Sociology from the University of Washington in 2001, and is a member of Phi Beta Kappa. While at the University of Washington, Shane served as an extern at the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington and at the Environmental Protection Agency. He is admitted to practice in Washington and Arizona, and earned the second highest score out of 743 applicants on the July 2004 Arizona bar examination.



*Tyler L. Farmer*

Prior to joining Keller Rohrback, Tyler Farmer was a member of the Trial Practice Group in the Silicon Valley and Washington, D.C. offices of Jones Day. Over his career, he has represented a broad range of clients in state and federal courts across the country. At the trial level, his practice has encompassed such diverse matters as shareholder derivative suits, securities class actions, corporate takeover disputes, complex product liability actions, and pro bono representation of an individual seeking compensation for undisclosed termite infestation of a newly purchased property. Mr. Farmer has briefed a wide array of pre-trial and trial motions in state and federal courts and has a track record of successfully briefing and arguing discovery motions under California law.



In addition, Mr. Farmer brings particular experience to matters involving corporate internal investigations. He has been part of teams that conducted corporate internal investigations and responses to government investigations on behalf of both Audit Committees and compliance departments. In connection with these efforts, Tyler has presented investigation results to Boards of Directors, outside auditors, and governmental agencies. Mr. Farmer has also advised clients on the creation and implementation of document retention policies, data acquisition and transfer compliance, and strategies relating to the preservation and production of electronically stored information.

Mr. Farmer worked for the U.S. Department of Justice in the American Embassy during his second year of law school, which he spent in Notre Dame's Concannon Programme of International Law in London, England. Prior to attending law school, Tyler spent two years as an Americorps volunteer, teaching middle school in Pensacola, FL and working as a full-time residential staff member at a homeless shelter in Phoenix, AZ. He received both his B.A. and J.D. from Notre Dame University.



Juli E. Farris

Juli Farris focuses on securities fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, and antitrust litigation in state and federal courts. She has made significant contributions in cases such as *In Re Catfish Antitrust Litigation*, *IKON Securities Litigation*, *Anicom Securities Litigation*, *United Companies Securities Litigation*, *In re Worldcom ERISA Litigation*, and most recently, stock option backdating cases against companies such as Apple Computer Inc., Zoran Corporation, and others. Prior to joining Keller Rohrback in 1991, Ms. Farris clerked for Judge E. Grady Jolly of the Fifth Circuit of the United States Court of Appeals and practiced law at the Washington, D.C. office of Sidley & Austin (now Sidley, Austin, Brown & Wood). She earned her B.A. in English and J.D. from Stanford University, where she was a Note Editor of the *Stanford Law Review*.



Ms. Farris is admitted to practice in the state and federal courts in Washington, California, and the District of Columbia.

Raymond J. Farrow

Ray Farrow's practice focuses on complex litigation with an emphasis on antitrust, securities law, and ERISA litigation. Mr. Farrow graduated with high honors from the University of Washington School of Law where he was Articles Editor of the *Washington Law Review*. Prior to law school, Mr. Farrow was a member of the Economics faculty at Seattle University, the University of Washington, and Queen's University in Canada. He earned his B.A. in Economics from the University of Manchester in the U.K. and M.A. degrees in Economics from the University of Essex (U.K.) and Princeton University. Mr. Farrow is licensed to practice in Washington State.





Laura R. Gerber

Laura R. Gerber's practice focuses on complex litigation with an emphasis on ERISA litigation, breach of fiduciary duty, and excessive fee litigation. Prior to joining the firm, she was a consulting attorney on land reform projects in foreign countries. Ms. Gerber graduated from the University of Washington School of Law. While in law school, she concurrently received an M.P.A. degree from the Daniel J. Evans School of Public Affairs at the University of Washington and was a member of the Moot Court Honor Board. She received her B.A. in History and Economics from Goshen College. Ms. Gerber is licensed to practice in Washington.



Gary Gotto

Gary Gotto is a member of Keller Rohrback P.L.C., based in Phoenix, Arizona. Mr. Gotto currently serves in leadership positions in the *Enron ERISA Litigation*, *CMS Energy Corp. ERISA Litigation*, *Dynegy ERISA Litigation*, *Global Crossing ERISA Litigation*, and the *WorldCom ERISA Litigation* and has held leadership and management positions in both *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc. Securities and ERISA Litigation*. In addition to his ERISA 401(k) class action expertise, Mr. Gotto has expertise in complex Chapter 11 bankruptcy litigation and issues, which has proved invaluable in cases in which defendants in ERISA 401(k) litigation are also debtors in bankruptcy, including the *Enron ERISA Litigation*, *WorldCom ERISA Litigation*, and *Global Crossing, Ltd. ERISA Litigation*. He chaired the Arizona State Bar Subcommittee on Revising the Limited Partnership Act and co-authored *Arizona Legal Forms: Limited Liability Companies and Partnerships*. Mr. Gotto speaks and teaches regularly on a number of topics, including an annual real estate bankruptcy case study presented at Harvard Law School. He earned his J.D. from Arizona State University *summa cum laude*, where he was a member of the Order of the Coif. and the Special Projects Editor of the *Arizona State Law Journal*. Mr. Gotto received his B.A. from the University of Pennsylvania *cum laude*. He is admitted to practice in the state of Arizona.





Gary D. Greenwald

Gary Greenwald practiced in Columbus, Ohio from 1975 through 2006 where he was the senior litigation partner for the firms of Schottenstein, Zox, & Dunn and Shayne & Greenwald. He has a broad range of experience as a commercial litigator, having tried more than 200 cases in the federal and state courts across the United States. His trial experience includes securities litigation, ERISA breach of fiduciary duty claims, trademark litigation, trade secrecy claims, professional malpractice, and a wide range of contract and real estate disputes. He spent five years as an Adjunct Professor of Trial Practice at the Ohio State University College of Law and has been a frequent speaker on the subject of Employee Stock Ownership Plans ("ESOPs"). He received his B.A. from Miami University and his J.D. from Ohio State University College of Law.



Amy N. L. Hanson

Amy N. L. Hanson's practice is focused on class action and other complex litigation, including products liability, breach of fiduciary duty, consumer protection, antitrust, and employment protection cases. Ms. Hanson has successfully represented plaintiff classes and individuals on a variety of issues in both state and federal courts, including *Rozema v. Marshfield Clinic*, 977 F. Supp. 1362 (W.D. Wis. 1997) (class action antitrust); *Wright v. Jeckle*, 104 Wn. App. 478, 16 P.3d 1268 (2001) (class action consumer protection and breach of fiduciary duty), *rev. denied* 144 Wn.2d 1011, 31 P.3d 1185 (2001), *subsequent appeal*, 121 Wn. App. 624, 90 P.3d (2004); *In re CMS Energy ERISA Litig.*, 225 F.R.D. 539 (E.D. Mich. 2004) (class action breach of fiduciary duty); and *Shaffer v. McFadden*, 155 Wn.2d 1010, 122 P.3d 912, *rev. denied* 155 Wn.2d 1010; 122 P.3d 912 (2005) (jurisdictional and forum selection clause issues).



Ms. Hanson earned her J.D. from the University of Wisconsin, where she began litigating her first class action antitrust case as a 2L with Keller Rohrbach L.L.P. through the law school's Consumer Litigation Clinic. She earned her B.A. degree *summa cum laude* in Economics and Political Science from the University of Minnesota. She is licensed to practice in Washington and Wisconsin and in the United States District Court of Western Washington, Eastern Washington, Eastern Michigan, and the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.



Ron Kilgard

Ron Kilgard is of member of Keller Rohrback P.L.C., based in Phoenix, Arizona. Most notable among the many cases he has litigated is the *Whetman v. IKON Office Solutions, Inc. ERISA Litigation*, MDL No. 1318 (E.D. Pa.), in which Mr. Kilgard served as one of the lead attorneys. The *IKON ERISA Litigation* was one of the leading ERISA 401(k) breach of fiduciary duty class actions in the country, providing landmark published decisions on class certification and other central issues pertaining to ERISA 401(k) breach of fiduciary duty class action litigation. The ERISA issues in the *IKON Securities Litigation*, which were actively litigated for more than three years in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, were fundamentally the same as those presented in subsequent ERISA 401(k) litigation against IKON, involving the alleged imprudence of investments in employer securities in the same company's 401(k) plan and materially misleading communications with plan participants related thereto. Mr. Kilgard currently serves in leadership positions in the *Enron ERISA Litigation* and *BellSouth ERISA Litigation*. In addition to his ERISA 401(k) class action expertise, Mr. Kilgard has expertise in complex Chapter 11 bankruptcy litigation and issues, which has proved invaluable in ERISA cases in which defendants also are debtors in bankruptcy.



Mr. Kilgard is a Phoenix native. He received an undergraduate degree from Harvard College and a Master's degree from Harvard Divinity School, concentrating in Hebrew Bible and other ancient Near Eastern languages and literatures (Babylonian-Assyrian, Egyptian, etc.), before returning home to Arizona for law school. Mr. Kilgard graduated from Arizona State as the Editor-In-Chief of the law review and was selected by faculty as the outstanding graduate of his class. Upon earning his law degree, Mr. Kilgard clerked for the Hon. Mary Schroeder on the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals before entering private practice. In over twenty years of practice, Mr. Kilgard has litigated a broad array of commercial and personal injury/wrongful death cases for both plaintiffs and defendants, including construction cases for Bechtel Corporation, personal injury/wrongful death cases involving AIDS-tainted blood, insurance bad faith cases, and insurance receivership cases. Mr. Kilgard is asked by courts to serve as a special master in complex or contentious cases requiring individualized management. In recent years he has been extensively involved in litigating ERISA breach of fiduciary duty class action cases, especially those involving issues of company stock. Mr. Kilgard is a frequent speaker at seminars, both for lawyers and judges.



Cari Campen Laufenberg

Cari Campen Laufenberg's practice focuses on ERISA, breach of fiduciary duty litigation, and consumer fraud litigation. She is actively involved in, among other cases, the *Marsh ERISA Litigation*, the *Southern Company ERISA Litigation* and *Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company ERISA Litigation*. Ms. Laufenberg has made significant contributions in ERISA cases such as *In re Williams Companies ERISA Litigation*, *In re Mirant Corporation ERISA Litigation* and *In re HealthSouth Corporation ERISA Litigation*. She earned her J.D. and M.P.A. from the University of Washington and received her B.A. from the University of California, San Diego. Ms. Laufenberg serves as a pro bono attorney for the Northwest Immigrant Rights Project, representing immigrant survivors of domestic violence, and is a member of the King County Bar Association, the Washington State Bar Association, the American Bar Association, the Federal Bar Association and Washington Women Lawyers. She is licensed to practice in Washington State.



Elizabeth A. Leland

Beth Leland's practice focuses on ERISA and securities fraud litigation. She is actively involved in, among other cases, the *Apple Computer Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, *Hansen Natural Corporation Derivative Litigation*, *Scientific-Atlanta Securities Litigation*, and the *Xerox ERISA Litigation*. Ms. Leland has made significant contributions in ERISA and securities cases such as the *Anicom Securities Litigation*, *BellSouth ERISA Litigation*, *Dynegy ERISA Litigation*, *IKON Securities Litigation*, *United Companies Securities Litigation*, and the *Visteon ERISA Litigation*. She is an active member of the King County, Washington State, and American Bar Associations, including the American Bar Association's Section of Labor & Employment Law. She earned her B.A. in Business Administration with concentrations in Finance and Business Economics from the University of Washington and graduated *cum laude* from the University of Puget Sound School of Law. Ms. Leland is admitted to practice in Washington State and Federal Courts, as well as the Ninth and other Circuits across the country.



***Tana Lin***

Tana Lin's practice focuses on employment and ERISA litigation. Prior to joining Keller Rohrback's Complex Litigation Group, Ms. Lin practiced as a civil rights attorney and criminal defense lawyer. She began her legal career as a trial attorney with the Public Defender Service for the District of Columbia. She later became a senior trial attorney with the Employment Litigation Section of the Civil Rights Division of the United States Department of Justice and, subsequently, the Chicago District Office of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Ms. Lin has prosecuted employment discrimination cases against governmental entities such as the Louisiana State Police and private corporations such as Wal-Mart. Ms. Lin was also the litigation coordinator for the Michigan Poverty Law Program



where she developed and implemented impact projects to address systemic problems affecting the poor on issues such as access to public benefits and appropriate educational services. She also served as a co-mediator for federal agency employee disputes through the Interagency Project on Sharing Neutrals. Ms. Lin received her A.B. with Distinction in Government from Cornell University; she earned her J.D. from New York University School of Law, where she was a Root-Tilden-Snow Scholar. She is admitted to practice in the District of Columbia, Illinois, Michigan, and Washington.

*Derek W. Loeser*

Derek Loeser is a partner in the firm's Complex Litigation Group. His practice focuses on ERISA class action cases, and he serves as one of the lead counsel in many of the firm's nationally prominent ERISA breach of fiduciary duty class actions. His efforts have contributed to a numerous favorable published decisions and substantial recoveries on behalf of the firm's clients. In addition to his work on cases that have been resolved, including Enron and WorldCom, Mr. Loeser currently is serving in a leadership capacity in several cases, including cases against *AIG*, *Fremont*, *Ford*, and *JP Morgan/Chase*. Mr. Loeser is a member of the American Bar Association's Section of Labor & Employment Law and the Employee Benefits Committee as a Plaintiff attorney, and is a frequent speaker at national ERISA conferences. Before



joining Keller Rohrback in 2002, he clerked for the Hon. Michael R. Hogan, United States District Court, District of Oregon, and was a trial attorney in the Employment Litigation Section of the Civil Rights Division of the United States Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. Mr. Loeser obtained his B.A. from Middlebury College, where he graduated summa cum laude, with highest departmental honors, and as a member of Phi Beta Kappa. He graduated with honors from the University of Washington School of Law. Mr. Loeser was named in 2007 as a "Super Lawyer" among civil litigators and recognized in 2005 and 2006 as a "Rising Star" by *Washington Law and Politics* magazine in its annual review of the State's legal profession.



Gretchen S. Obrist

Prior to joining Keller Rohrback, Gretchen Obrist worked for two years as a law clerk to the Hon. John C. Coughenour, United States District Judge for the Western District of Washington in Seattle, where she worked on civil and criminal matters ranging from commercial litigation to employment, civil rights, and constitutional cases to antitrust and securities fraud actions. Ms. Obrist received both her B.S. and her J.D. from the University of Nebraska—Lincoln. She graduated from law school Order of the Coif and was the Editor-in-Chief of the *Nebraska Law Review*. During law school, Ms. Obrist worked at a public defender's office, where she represented clients before the county mental health board and worked on felony proceedings and appeals. She also conducted law and policy analysis and drafted proposed legislation for the Nebraska Domestic Violence Sexual Assault Coalition. Prior to law school, Ms. Obrist worked on legal issues generated by welfare reform at the Nebraska Appleseed Center for Law in the Public Interest, where she assisted project attorneys with individual and class litigation, prepared and delivered testimony to the state legislature, developed educational materials for both welfare clients and the advocacy community, and worked toward system responsiveness to the intersection between poverty and intimate partner violence. Ms. Obrist is licensed to practice in Washington State and is a member of the King County Bar Association, the American Bar Association, and the American Constitution Society.



Erin M. Riley

Erin Riley's practice focuses on ERISA and breach of fiduciary duty litigation. Ms. Riley graduated *cum laude* from the University of Wisconsin School of Law, where she was a managing editor of the *Wisconsin Law Review*. She received her B.A. in French and History from Gonzaga University, where she graduated *cum laude*. Ms. Riley is licensed to practice in both Washington and Wisconsin, and is a member of the American Bar Association's Section of Labor & Employment Law and the Employee Benefits Committee as a Plaintiff Attorney.





Karin B. Swope

Karin Swope practices in the firm's nationally recognized complex litigation group. Prior to joining the firm, Karin litigated complex commercial cases, primarily in the areas of intellectual property, and commercial disputes. She also has significant experience in responding to government enforcement activities, including white collar criminal prosecutions, federal civil enforcement actions, and government investigations, and has counseled clients on internal corporate investigations. She clerked for the Hon. John C. Coughenour, United States District Court, District of Western Washington, and for the Hon. Robert E. Cowen, United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. She earned her B.A. in English and Political Science from Amherst College and her J.D. from Columbia University School of Law, where she was Executive Articles Editor for the *Columbia Human Rights Law Review*.



Britt L. Tinglum

Britt Tinglum is a partner at Keller Rohrbach L.L.P. and has held a leadership role in several nation-wide class actions, including *In re Enron ERISA Litigation*, *In re Ikon Office Solutions, Inc.* and *Lucent Technologies, Inc. ERISA Litigation*, in which her firm was appointed co-lead counsel. Ms. Tinglum is also actively engaged in the prosecution of a variety of other complex class actions, including antitrust and consumer fraud and accounting fraud. She received both her undergraduate and J.D. from the University of Wisconsin.





Margaret E. Wetherald

Margie Wetherald is a partner of Keller Rohrbach L.L.P. and serves on the firm's executive committee. Throughout her practice, Ms. Wetherald has handled complex litigation in multiple state and federal jurisdictions with a concentration on commercial insurance coverage, breach of fiduciary duty, and class action litigation. Ms. Wetherald has also handled mass tort litigation involving transmission of AIDS to hemophiliacs through blood products, exposure to contaminated groundwater and hepatitis. She received her undergraduate degree from Mount Holyoke College *cum laude* and as a member of Phi Beta Kappa. She graduated from Cornell Law School. Ms. Wetherald taught at the Columbus School of Law at Catholic University in Washington, D.C. from 1983 to 1985. Ms. Wetherald has practiced in Seattle since 1985 with two years in Washington, D.C. from 1992–1994. She is admitted to practice in the United States Supreme Court, the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, the United States District Courts for Eastern and Western Washington and in the State Courts in Washington and Oregon.



Amy Williams-Derry

Amy Williams-Derry joined Keller Rohrbach's Complex Litigation Group in 2005 with an extensive background in federal court and commercial litigation. Ms. Williams-Derry has litigated complex securities, class action, employment, intellectual property, consumer, real property, corporate, and environmental disputes. She has litigated cases at both the trial and appellate levels, and has successfully represented clients in mediation and arbitration settings, including before the National Labor Relations Board, National Association of Securities Dealers, and New York Stock Exchange. She has also served as lead or co-lead counsel in a number of high-profile ERISA actions, including the *In re Polaroid ERISA Litigation*, *In re JP Morgan Chase Cash Balance Litigation*, and *In re Delphi Securities and ERISA Litigation*. For the past five years, Ms. Williams-Derry has been voted a "Rising Star" among civil litigators by Washington Law and Politics magazine in its annual review of the State's legal profession.



Ms. Williams-Derry attended Brown University, where she received her A.B., with honors, in 1993. She received her J.D. from the University of Virginia School of Law in 1998, where she was the Editor-in-Chief of the *Virginia Environmental Law Journal*.




Additional Keller Rohrbach attorneys working with the ERISA Litigation Group:

Ian S. Birk	Glen P. Garrison	David J. Russell
Stephen R. Boatwright*	Mark A. Griffin	Mark D. Samson*
Karen E. Boxx	Irene M. Hecht	Frederick W. Schoepflin
John H. Bright	Scott C. Henderson	William C. Smart
Jason P. Chukas	Benjamin J. Lantz	Thomas A. Sterken
Alicia Corbett*	Heidi Lantz	Ryan J. Straus
Rob J. Crichton	John Mellen	Laurence R. Weatherly
Chloethiel W. DeWeese	Robert S. Over	Michael Woerner
Maureen M. Falecki	Amy Phillips	Benson D. Wong
Daniel S. Friedberg	Lorraine Lewis Phillips	

*Admitted to practice in the State of Arizona only.

0

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK



MARGARET B. AMIDEI, OSCAR F. SALEH,
and GRACE C. BAXTER, individually and on
behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., MAURICE R. GREENBERG, HOWARD
I. SMITH, DONALD P. KANAK, MARTIN J.
SULLIVAN, RICHARD A. GROSIK, AXEL
I. FREUDMANN, PATRICIA R. MCCANN,
JOHN DOES 1-20 (AIG RETIREMENT
BOARD),

Defendants.

ECF Case

Civ. Action No. 04-cv-9387
(JES) (AJP)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER OF
CONSOLIDATION AND
PRELIMINARY SCHEDULE
OF PROCEEDINGS**

TERRY PHILLIPS, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05-cv-4142
(JES) (AJP)

EMMA TOY, individually and on behalf of all
others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05-cv-4221
(JES) (AJP)

CHRISTOPHER TONLEY, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05-cv-4257
(JES) (AJP)

MARIA SERGI and JAMES O. BRITT,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05-cv-4266
(JES) (AJP)

PEDRO J. NIEVES, individually and on behalf
of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05-cv-4352
(JES) (AJP)

LINDA ADAMS, individually and on behalf of
all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No. 05-cv-4439
(JES) (AJP)

THOMAS SINYARD, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,
Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05 Civ. 5675
(JES) (AJP)

JOSEPH SARACO, on behalf of himself and a
class of persons similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP,
INC., et al.,

Defendants.

Civ. Action No: 05 Civ. 6179
(JES) (AJP)

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. The actions entitled *Amidei v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 04 CV 9387; *Phillips v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4142; *Toy v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4221; *Tonley v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4257; *Sergi v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4266; *Nieves v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4352; *Adams v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 4439; *Sinyard v. American International Group, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 05 CV 5675, and *Saraco v.*

American International Group, Inc., et al., Case No. 05 CV 6179, (the "Actions") are hereby consolidated pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

2. All actions filed in the future in this District or transferred to this District that allege ERISA claims arising out of the same or substantially similar facts as alleged in the Actions will be consolidated with the law numbered case: 04 CV 9387.

3. For the purposes of this Order, a "Related Action" is any purported class action or direct action, brought under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act ("ERISA") § 502(a)(2), (3), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(2), (3), and involving questions of law or fact common to the above-captioned Actions, within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 42(a).

4. This Order shall apply to each Related Action subsequently filed in or transferred to this Court, unless a party objecting to the consolidation of such a case or to any other provision of this Order shall, within twenty (20) days after the date upon which a copy of this Order is mailed to counsel for such party, file an application, with notice to the Provisional Committee (as defined below) and counsel for defendants in this Action, for relief from this Order or any provision herein, and this Court deems it appropriate to grant such application.

5. All Related Actions subsequently filed in or transferred to this Court shall be consolidated for all purposes with this Action.

6. When a Related Action is hereafter filed in or transferred to this Court and assigned to the Honorable John E. Sprizzo, the Clerk of the Court shall:

- a) Mail a copy of such order of relatedness or transfer to the Provisional Committee (as defined below) in this Action;
- b) Place a copy of this Order in the separate file for such newly filed or transferred action; and
- c) Make an appropriate entry in the Master Docket.

7. Plaintiffs' Counsel in this Action shall promptly mail a copy of this Order to the attorneys for the plaintiff(s) in the newly filed or transferred case. The attorneys for the

plaintiff(s) in the newly filed or transferred case shall thereafter promptly serve a copy of this Order upon any new defendants or their counsel in the newly filed or transferred case.

8. The Court requests the assistance of all counsel in calling to the attention of the Clerk of the Court the filing or transfer of any case which might properly be consolidated as part of this Action.

9. The Clerk of the Court will maintain a master docket and case file under the following caption:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	x		
	:		
In Re AIG ERISA Litigation	:		
	:		
	:		
	x		

Master File No.: 04-CV-9387 (JES) (AJP)

All orders, pleadings, motions, and other documents will, when filed and docketed in the master case file, be deemed filed and docketed in each applicable constituent case.

10. All orders, pleadings, motions, and other documents served or filed in this Action will bear the caption of "In Re AIG ERISA Litigation." To the extent Related Actions are consolidated with this Action, if a document is applicable to all of the constituent actions, such caption shall also include the notation that the document is related to "All Cases" and be filed and docketed only in the master file. Documents intended to apply only to one or more, but not all, of the constituent cases shall indicate in their caption the specific case number of the case or cases to which they apply and extra copies of each document shall be provided to the Clerk to facilitate filing and docketing both in the master case file and in each of the specified individual case files.

11. The terms of this Order shall not have the effect of making any person, firm or corporation a party to any action in which he, she, or it has not been named, served or added as such in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

12. The law firms of Wolf Popper LLP, Squitieri & Fearon, LLP, Wechsler Harwood LLP, and Keller Rohrback L.L.P. shall constitute a provisional committee (the "Provisional Committee"), on behalf of all Plaintiffs' Counsel, and shall share responsibility among all Plaintiffs' Counsel in the Consolidated Actions, including the drafting and filing of a Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint, the briefing of any motion to dismiss, and the class certification motion, and any matters pertaining thereto. This committee shall serve until the appointment of co-lead counsel after determination of the class certification motion.

13. In performing its duties and responsibilities, the Provisional Committee members shall consult with one another and coordinate their activities to prevent inefficiency or duplicative work. In the event of a dispute among Provisional Committee members, the Provisional Committee shall jointly seek guidance from the Court.

14. Service of pleadings and other papers by defendants shall be made only upon Wolf Popper LLP, Keller Rohrback L.L.P., Squitieri & Fearon LLP, and Wechsler Harwood LLP, which are authorized and directed to accept service on behalf of all ERISA plaintiffs.


15. The parties have conferred and reached agreement with respect to a voluntary production by American International Group, Inc. of certain documents and/or information which the parties expect will be provided to the Provisional Committee on or before August 12, 2005.

16. Any documents or information provided to the Provisional Committee by American International Group, Inc. shall be deemed to have been provided to plaintiff(s) in any subsequently filed and consolidated actions, and American International Group, Inc. shall not be required to provide the same documents or information to any party in any subsequently filed and consolidated actions.

17. The Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint shall be filed by August 29, 2005.

18. The Consolidated Complaint shall be the operative complaint and shall supercede all complaints filed in any of the actions consolidated herein. Pending filing and service of the Consolidated Complaint, Defendants shall have no obligation to move, answer, or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in the above-captioned actions herein or any actions subsequently consolidated with them. Unless a plaintiff in a subsequently filed or transferred case is permitted by the Court to use a separate complaint, Defendants shall not be required to answer, plead, or otherwise move with respect to that complaint. If a plaintiff in any such case is permitted to use a separate complaint, each Defendant shall have sixty (60) days from the date the Court grants such permission within which to answer, plead, or otherwise move with respect to that complaint.

SO ORDERED this 5 day of August 2005.


HONORABLE JOHN E. SPRIZZO
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE